

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/891,417	06/27/2001	Dong Wook Shin	P66815US0	1358
136	7590 11/15/2004	•	EXAM	INER
JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC 400 SEVENTH STREET N.W.			SMITH, TRACI L	
SUITE 600	II SIKLLI IV.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			3629	
			DATE MAILED: 11/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/891,417	SHIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Traci L Smith	3629 WU /				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	lune 2001.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 4 recites the limitation "the additional payment" in the second line. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. There is no payment and/or additional payment claimed in the independent or preceding claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1 , ...
 - 2. Claim rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over www.madd.org; retrieved wayback machine, Dec. 07, 1998 any linkage; in view of "Easy access for all users; Chicago Tribune, Nov. 10, 1999 Michele Fitzpatrick.
 - 3. As to claim 1 MADD teaches a method of a virtual candle on a website personalized to a person who has died(Pg. 3 ¶5). MADD teaches a method of displaying the candle(Pg.4 ¶3 & Pg 8-9). However, MADD fails to teach a method of selecting a specific candle with dates. Fitzpatrick teaches a website

Art Unit: 3629

for ordering virtual candles with a selection of 150 choices as well as scheduling time and dates for greeting delivery. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Fitzpatrick with MADD so as to have a personalized tribute that will run for an appropriate time to keep the tribute accurate.

- 4. As to claim 2, both MADD and Fitzpatrick teach the virtual candle over a webserver. It is obvious as being well known in the art that a server automatically performs an action.
- 5. As to claim 3, MADD and Fitzpatrick both teach a "Virtual Candle" in which virtual is defined by www.netlingo.com as a simulation of the real thing, experiencing something without having to be physically present.
- 6. As to claim 4 examiner takes official notice that it is well known in the business arena to make additional payments to extend a service one is receiving. Examples are that such as cellular phones, magazine subscriptions. Once the time frame has run out for the initial purchase an individual must pay additional amounts or the service is terminated.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Patent 5 426 594, Wright et al; June 20, 1995, Electronic card store and communication system. Foreign Patent EP 1111481 A2; Dec. 12, 1999; Jacob. A method of mobile prayer. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be

Application/Control Number: 09/891,417

Art Unit: 3629

Page 4

directed to Traci L Smith whose telephone number is (703)605-1155. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00 am-4:30 pm.

- 8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on 703.308-2702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tls

JOHN G. WEISS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600